

Planning Team Report

Blacktown Planning Proposal – Former Ashlar Golf Course Blacktown Planning Proposal - Former Ashlar Golf Course Proposal Title : Proposal Summary : The Planning Proposal seeks to: rezone the former Ashlar Golf Course at Springfield Avenue, for residential development; . and rezone the KFC Restaurant at 81 Richmond Road, Blacktown to facilitate access to the Ashlar site (the subject site). The subject site is expected to accommodate approximately 800 - 1200 dwellings (including detached, semi-detached, attached dwellings; Residential flat buildings; and Neighbourhood shops and Shop-top housing). PP_2012_BLACK_005_00 12/15002 PP Number : Dop File No : **Proposal Details** Blacktown Date Planning 21-Sep-2012 LGA covered : Proposal Received : **Blacktown City Council** RPA: Sydney Region West Region : Section of the Act : BLACKTOWN 55 - Planning Proposal State Electorate : LEP Type : Spot Rezoning **Location Details** Street : **Springfield Avenue** Suburb : Blacktown City : Blacktown Postcode : 2148 Land Parcel : Lot 1, DP 222469 81 Richmond Road Street : Blacktown City : Blacktown Postcode : 2148 Suburb : Lots 17 and 18, DP 29519 Land Parcel : Street : **Crudge Road** Suburb : Blacktown City : Blacktown Postcode : 2148 Land Parcel : Lot 1, DP 785963

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Amar Saini	
Contact Number :	0298601130	
Contact Email :	amar.saini@planning.nsw.gov.au	
RPA Contact Details		

Contact Name :	Glennys James
Contact Number :	0298396201
Contact Email :	Glennys.James@blacktown.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro North West subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	38.59	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	800	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	800
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	200
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :	In relation to the Lobbyist Code of Lobbyist Contact Register regard		ds on the Department's
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting	SUMMARY:		
Notes :	Planning Proposal seeks to:		
	 rezone the former Ashlar Golf Course at Springfield Avenue, for residential development; and rezone the KFC Restaurant at 81 Richmond Road, Blacktown to facilitate access to the Ashlar site (the subject site). 		
	The Ashlar Golf Course is locate	d approximately one kilometro	e north-west of the

Blacktown CBD and approximately 800 metres south-east of Marayong Railway Station (location map in documents). The KFC Restaurant adjoins Ashlar Golf Course to the south and will be used as a new access point to the subject site.

The subject site was until recently occupied by a private golf club which is relocating to Stonecutters Ridge in Colebee.

The subject site (38.38 hectares) comprises of:

Lot 1, DP 222469 Springfield Avenue and Lot 1, DP 785936 Curdge Road (Ashlar Golf);
and

Lots 17 and 18, DP 29519, 81 Richmond Road, (KFC Restaurant)

Ashlar Golf Course is currently zoned part 6(b) Private Recreation and part 2(a) Residential and the KFC Restaurant at Richmond Road is zoned 3(a) General Business under Blacktown Local Environmental Plan 1988.

The Planning Proposal will facilitate the preparation of an LEP to rezone the subject site based on the Standard Instrument.

The following new zones are proposed for the subject site:

- R1 General Residential
- RE1 Public Recreation

The Planning Proposal is expected to accommodate approximately 800 – 1200 dwellings (including detached, semi-detached, attached dwellings; Residential flat buildings; and Neighbourhood Shops and Shop-top housing).

The subject site is located within the Blacktown City Centre which is bounded by a 2 kilometre radius centred on the Blacktown Railway Station, and extends north to Vardys Road, West to Lancaster and Lyton Streets and Davis Road, south to Bungarribee Road and east to Stephen Street (map in Documents).

Council has prepared a Masterplan for the Blacktown City Centre. The Masterplan is a strategic integrated land use plan to manage growth and development within the Blacktown City Centre. The Blacktown City Centre is made up of seven special character Precincts and the subject site falls within one of the precincts. The Masterplan has not been endorsed by the Department, nor has consultations occurred with other agencies.

The Blacktown City Centre (CBD) Precinct was the subject of a separate Planning Proposal that was publically exhibited between November 2011 and January 2012. The Planning Proposal was submitted to the Department of Planning and Infrastructure on 31 August 2012.

REFERRALS

A referral was forwarded to the Strategies and Land Release (SLR) Team on 17 September 2012 seeking advice on whether State Public Infrastructure would be required for the proposal.

No reply has been received from the Strategic and Land Release Team by the time of submitting this report. State Public Infrastructure is addressed further in this report.

ADDITIONAL INFROMATION

Additional information (regarding the minimum lot sizes proposed) was requested by the Department and provided by Council on 21 September 2012.

orting POLITICAL DONATIONS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

External Supporting Notes :

Political donations disclosure laws commenced on 1 October 2008. The legislation requires the public disclosure of donations or gifts for certain circumstances relating to the Planning system.

"The disclosure requirements under the new legislation are triggered by the making of relevant planning applications and relevant public submissions on such applications.

The term relevant planning application means:

- A formal request to the Minister, a council or the Director-General to initiate the making of an environmental planning instrument..."

Planning Circular PS 08-009 specifies that a person who makes a public submission to the Minister or Director General is required to disclose all reportable political donations (if any).

The Department has not received any disclosure statements for this Planning Proposal. It is noted that Council received a disclosure statement from the proponent.

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The Planning Proposal clearly identifies the objective of the proposal is to facilitate the preparation of an LEP to rezone the subject site for residential purposes (ie, R1 - General Residential and RE1 - Public Recreation) based on the Standard Instrument format.

It is considered that the intended outcomes of the Planning Proposal are consistent with the criteria outlined in the Guide to Preparing Local Environmental Plans.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

Council has provided an explanation for the proposed amendment i.e. the Planning Proposal would adopt the following main provisions:

- Land Use Zoning
- Land Use Table
- Height of Buildings
- Land Reservation and Acquisition
- Local Clauses

Further, these provisions are described in detail in the Planning Proposal.

It is considered that the explanation of provisions is clear and satisfactory and consistent with the Department's Guide to Preparing Local Environmental Plans.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :
* May need the Director General's agreement
* May need the Director General's agreement
* May need the Director General's agreement
* Analysis agreement
* May need the Director General's agreement
* May need the Director General's agreement
* Analysis agreement
*

Is the Director General's	s agreement required? Y	/es
c) Consistent with Standar	d Instrument (LEPs) Ord	er 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have the	RPA identified?	SEPP No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		
Have inconsistencies with i	items a), b) and d) being	adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	S11	17 Directions
		NESS & INDUSTRIAL ZONES: s when a Planning Proposal affects land within an existing or or industrial zone.
	This direction applies Business Zone.	s as the Planning Proposal proposes to remove an existing 3(a)
		the inconsistency with the Direction is of minor nature in this ction of the business zone:
	is very insignificmainly to obtain	ant; and an access to the site.
	The approval of the D the Direction.	Director General's delegate is recommended in this report, to satisfy
	It is noted that accor instance. However, a environmental protec	RONMENTAL PROTECTION ZONES: ding to the Planning Proposal, this direction applies in this s the Planning Proposal does not propose to reduce existing ction zoned land, nor does it reduce environmental protection dered that the Planning Proposal is consistent with this Direction.
	No listed heritage ite prepared by Artefact archaeological poten isolated stone artefac	TAGE CONSERVATION: ms are located at the site. The Aboriginal Archaeological Report Heritage Services concluded that the Subject Site has low tial and low archaeological significance. Site surveys revealed two cts (southwest portion and in the centre of the Subject Site). The that further test excavations were not necessary.
	In view of the above, the Direction.	it is considered that the Planning Proposal is not inconsistent with
		s when a Planning Proposal affects land within an existing or y other zone in which significant residential development is
		s in this instance as the Planning Proposal is for rezoning of a or residential purposes.
		al is considered to be consistent with this direction as it: lement the objectives of the direction;

•	broadens the range of housing choices and provide ample opportunity for good
urb	an design.

will make more efficient use of existing infrastructure and services.

Given the above, it is considered that the Planning Proposal is not inconsistent with the Direction.

DIRECTION 3.4 INTEGRATING LAND USE AND TRANSPORT:

This direction applies when a council prepares a Planning Proposal that creates, alters or removes a zone or a provision relating to urban land, including land zoned for residential, business, industrial, village or tourist purposes.

The Direction applies in this instance as the Planning Proposal seeks to rezone the land for residential purposes. The Planning Proposal is however considered to be consistent with this direction as the Planning Proposal is consistent with the aims, objectives and principles of Improving Transport Choice – Guidelines for planning and development and the Right Place for Business and Services – Planning Policy as the subject site is located:

o within one kilometre form Blacktown Railway Station;

o within 800m from Marayong Station; and

o to make use of the existing services and employment opportunities in nearby centres.

DIRECTION 4.3 FLOOD PRONE LAND:

This direction applies when a Council prepares a Planning Proposal that creates, removes or alters a zone or a provision that affects flood prone land.

It is considered that the Planning Proposal is not inconsistent with the Direction as the proposed site layout has been designed to mitigate the existing upstream flooding issues that affect the site and avoid any unacceptable impacts arising from the proposed development on the downstream properties.

DIRECTION 6.2 RESERVING LAND FOR PUBLIC PRUPOSES:

This direction requires that a Planning Proposal shall not create, alter or reduce existing zonings or reservations of land for public purposes without the approval of the relevant public authority and the Director-General of the Department of Planning and Infrastructure.

The Planning Proposal proposes to rezone part of the existing 6(b) Private Recreation to RE1 – Public Recreation. As per this Direction, an acquisition authority is required to be listed to acquire the proposed RE1 – Public Recreation Zone. It is noted that the draft Instrument which is attached to the Planning Proposal, nominates Council as the acquisition authority for the proposed RE1 zone. It is therefore recommended that the Planning Proposal be amended (under 3.6 – Land Reservation Acquisition) to clearly include this statement before it is placed on exhibition.

The approval of the Director-General is recommended in this report, to satisfy the Direction.

DIRECTION 7.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE METROPOLITAN STRATEGY: The Planning Proposal is considered not to be generally inconsistent with the Metropolitan Strategy and the draft North West Subregional Strategy.

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES SEPPs)

 Pogo 7 of 12	24 Son 2012 10:03 om
Richmond Road is a classified road and accordingly an agreeme the Roads and Maritime Services (RMS) for the proposed entry to the advised that several meetings have been held between the proponen Blacktown City Council to resolve the most appropriate location for t provided. A number of locations were considered and it was resolved appropriate solution (having regard to traffic safety and availability o is to provide a new signalised intersection opposite Kent Street. Aus purchased the KFC restaurant site to facilitate the proposed access.	site. Council has t, the RMS and his access to be I that the most f land for purchase)
The proposed development will require existing utility services to and/or augmented to enable the future residential population to be ac Council has advised that these works will be undertaken in accordan provisions of the SEPP.	commodated.
STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICY(INFRASTRUCTURE) 20 The Infrastructure SEPP aims to facilitate the efficient delivery of infr the State. The following matters are relevant to the proposal:	
STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICY (Exempt and Complyin Codes) 2008 The Planning Proposal will not contain provisions that will contradict the application of the SEPP.	
Council has advised that the development concept has been designe massing and orientation to facilitate future BASIX compliance, which documented at the development application stage	
STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICY(Building Sustainability The BASIX SEPP requires residential development to achieve manda energy and water efficiency.	
Council has advised that the development concept has been designe with SEPP 65. The proposed residential apartments are located away dwellings.	
STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICY No 65 - Design Quality Development SEPP 65 provides a statutory framework to guide the design quality of developments.	
It is therefore considered that the Planning Proposal is not inconsist provisions of this SEPP.	ent with the
Council has further advised that the proposed site layout has been d the significant trees that are in good health.	esigned to retain
Council has advised that the site has been substantially modified du- and the understorey vegetation has been cleared. There are clusters which have been supplemented by more recent introduced species, site's eastern boundary adjacent to the rail line and in the central por	of mature trees, most notably at the
STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICY No 19 - Bushland in Ur The SEPP seeks to protect and preserve areas of urban bushland. Cl sets out matters which must be considered when preparing draft Loo Plans, including consideration of the general provisions and for prio retaining bushland.	ause 10 of the SEPP al Environmental

Any future works near or within the rail corridor will be undertaken in accordance with the provisions of Railcorp, and considered with future development applications for the site.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Council provided the following maps (copies in documents):

- Location Map
- Existing Zoning Map
- Proposed Zoning Map
- Proposed Land Application Map
- Proposed Height of Building Map
- Proposed Land Reservation Acquisition Map

Whilst, Council has advised that the minimum subdivision lot size for the site would be 250m², it has not provided a Lot Size Map. It is therefore recommended that Council be advised to amend the Planning Proposal to include a statement regarding the minimum lot size (and /or range of lot sizes) and include a proposed Lot Size Map for the site, before exhibiting the Planning Proposal.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

No community consultation period has been proposed by Council. However, given the nature of the Planning Proposal, it is recommended that it should be placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? No

If No, comment : As stated before Council has not provided the lot size map for the site, Council will be required to amend the Planning Proposal prior to exhibition to indicate the appropriate lot sizes for the site.

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Blacktown Council received funding under the Local Environmental Plan Priority Program (LEP Acceleration Fund (LEPAF)) to assist in finalising its Principal Local Environmental Plan.
	The Principal Local Environmental Plan will proceed in two (2) stages.
	(Stage 1) - A Planning Proposal for the Blacktown CBD has been submitted separately and received a Gateway Determination on 2 November 2011. The Planning Proposal was issued with a 9 month timeframe for completion. Consultation was carried out during December 2011. The Planning Proposal was submitted to the Department of Planning and Infrastructure on 31 August 2012.

	(Stage 2) - Remainder of the LGA. A s64 submission has been submitted (excluding maps) and the Department of Planning and Infrastructure has a target date for issue a s65 certificate by 28 September 2012. The Plan is due for completion by June 2013.
	The subject Planning Proposal intends to prepare a local environmental plan in Standard Instrument format. It is likely that the local environmental plan for the subject site will amend the CBD LEP or the City Wide LEP.
Assessment Criteria	
Need for planning proposal :	The Planning Proposal has been prepared in response to the relocation of the Ashlar Golf Club and the opportunity that this has presented to find an alternative use for this strategically located site. The site presents a very good opportunity for the delivery of 800-1200 new homes within the next five years.
	The redevelopment of the subject site for residential uses will contribute to Council's strategic planning objectives in revitalising the Blacktown City Centre. Council's vision for the Blacktown City Centre is to develop an attractive and viable Regional City that provides sufficient future capacity for housing, employment, culture, social and recreational areas and facilities.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The Planning Proposal is not inconsistent with either the draft North West Subregional Strategy or the Sydney Metro Strategy. It is also not inconsistent with the Masterplan for Blacktown City Centre (confidential) and with the Blacktown City 2025 – Delivering the vision together.
Environmental social economic impacts :	Council has advised that the Application is supported by the following plans and reports prepared by specialist consultants: Concept Masterplan Landscape Concept Plan Flora and Fauna Impact Assessment Water Management Report Aboriginal Archaeological Report Transport Report Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Services Assessment Services Assessment Social and Economic Assessment. These assessments do not form part of the Planning Proposal or information submitted by Council. On the basis of the information provided by Council in the Planning Proposal, no significant adverse environmental, social or economic impacts are anticipated (page 23 of the Planning Proposal). However, it is noted that the site contains remnants of Cumberland Plain Woodland (critically endangered ecological communities), which are proposed to be removed. In this regard consultation should be carried out with Office of Environment and Heritage. Further, under s69 of the Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995, the Cumberland Plain Recovery Plan has been considered and the subject site is not identified as containing Priority Conservation Areas.
	As discussed above, it is recommended that the Planning Proposal be amended:
	(under 3.6 – Land Reservation Acquisition) to include Council as the acquisition authority for the proposed RE1 zone.

	• to include a clear state for minimum lot size for su	ement regarding the mimum lot si ubdivision.	ze or sizes and ir	iclude a map
	Consultation with public a specific matters that need	uthorities as proposed in this rep to be addressed.	ort will identify a	ny other
ssessment Proces	S			
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 Month	Delegation :	DG	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Department of Education a Office of Environment and Integral Energy Transport for NSW Fire and Rescue NSW NSW Police Force Transport for NSW Transport for NSW - RailCo Transport for NSW - Roads Sydney Water Telstra	Heritage Drp		
s Public Hearing by the	PAC required? No			
2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ? No			
f no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No			
f Yes, reasons :				
dentify any additional st	udies, if required. :			
f Other, provide reasons	5.			
dentify any internal cons	sultations, if required :			
Netropolitan and Regio				
s the provision and fund	ling of state infrastructure rele	vant to this plan? Yes		
f Yes, reasons :	Team had not been provid arrangements are propos works on a classified roa it is recommended that M	this report, a response from the S ded. The Planning Proposal has n ed to secure the required works. d (Richmond Road) will be require lodel Clauses 6.1 - 6.4 be included uld be confirmed with the Strateg ermination be issued.	ot indicated wha However, it is kn ed (as a minimun I in the drafting o	t own that road n). In this light, f an LEP for
uments				
Document File Name		DocumentType Na	me	Is Public
.etter from Council.pdf	:	Proposal Covering	g Letter	Yes
Location Map.pdf Existing Zoning Map.pd	15	Мар Мар		Yes Yes
walker a second second map he	••			

Draft LZN Map.pdf		Мар	Yes
Draft LAnd Acquisition map.pdf Draft Height of Buildings map.pdf Planning Proposal.pdf SEPP and REP analysis table.pdf		Мар	Yes
		Мар	Yes
		Proposal Determination Desument	Yes
		Determination Document Determination Document	Yes Yes
Net Community Benefit	rest.par ary Meeting of 6 June 2012.pdf	Determination Document Determination Document	Yes
Ashlar LEP Matrix Septe		Determination Document	Yes
nning Team Recomm	nendation		
Preparation of the planni	ng proposal supported at this stage:R	lecommended with Conditions	
S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones		
	2.1 Environment Protection Zones		
	3.1 Residential Zones		
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Trans	sport	
	4.3 Flood Prone Land		
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropo	litan Plan for Sydney 2036	
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the Plannir conditions:	ng Proposal proceed subject to the fol	lowing
	1. Exhibited for 28 days;		
	2. Consultation with:		
	o Department of Education and	Communities	
	o Office of Environment and Hei	ritage	
	o Integral Energy		
	o Transport NSW		
	o Fire and Rescue NSW		
	o NSW Police Force		
	o Transport for NSW – RailCorp o Transport for NSW – Roads an	d Maritima Samicas	
	 o Transport for NSW – Roads an o Sydney Water 	u Manume Services	
	o Telstra		
		t to the item (4) of section 117(2) Direc	
	Reserving Land for Public Purpose	es endorses the creation RE1 - Public	Recreation Zone.
	4. The Planning Proposal is to be the Gateway determination.	e completed within 12 months from the	e week following
	 The Planning Proposal be ame to clearly indicate that the Black 	ended: cktown City Council is the acquisition	authority for RE1
	Public Recreation land;		
		or sizes) for subdivision (including a i i.4 in the Planning Proposal.	nap); and
Supporting Reasons :		a great opportunity to facilitate and d se to public transport and a main cent	
	2. The planning proposal has addr	essed the s.177 Direction and relevan	t strategies.
	3. Although the Strategies provide	d by Council have not been endorsed	by the
		elements and vision of the strategy s	upport the growth

.

	g Proposal – Former Ashlar Golf Course	
Signature:	MM JJ	
Printed Name:	STEPHEN UNPOLANER Date: 24/09/12	